## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

AMANDA HARRIS,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	NO. 3:21-cy-00530
Tiamuii,	)	110. 3.21-61-00330
v.	)	JUDGE RICHARDSON
	)	
KILOLO KAJAKAZI, Acting	)	
Commissioner of Social Security,	)	
	)	
Defendant		

## **ORDER**

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. No. 28), recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Award of Attorney's Fees Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (Doc. No. 25) be granted and Plaintiff be awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$5,777.06 and costs in the amount of \$402.00. No Objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed.

The failure to object to a report and recommendation releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter. *Frias v. Frias*, No. 2:18-cv-00076, 2019 WL 549506, at \*2 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 12, 2019); *Hart v. Bee Property Mgmt.*, No. 18-cv-11851, 2019 WL 1242372, at \* 1 (E.D. Mich. March 18, 2019) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)). The district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, those aspects of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made. *Ashraf v. Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.*, 322 F. Supp. 3d 879, 881 (W.D. Tenn. 2018); *Benson v. Walden Security*, No. 3:18-cv-0010, 2018 WL 6322332, at \*3 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 4, 2018). The district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and rulings to which no specific objection is filed. *Id.* 

Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and the file. The Report and Recommendation is adopted and approved. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Award of Attorney's Fees Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (Doc. No. 25) is hereby GRANTED and Plaintiff is AWARDED attorneys' fees in the amount of \$5,777.06 and costs in the amount of \$402.00.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Eli Richardson ELI RICHARDSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE